

Council on Undergraduate Research

Twelfth National Conference
June 21-24, 2008
College of St. Benedict
St. Joseph, Minnesota

EVALUATION FORM

~~We would like to hear your comments on the program and scheduling for the conference. We are especially interested in knowing what we can do to improve the next conference and what features you would retain or expand.~~

Name (optional, but if you wish to propose future topics for CUR meetings, we'd like to know who you are so that we can contact you later.)

_____ email: _____

Your position:

- Untenured faculty
- Tenured faculty
- Dean
- Provost
- President
- Undergraduate Research Program Director
- Sponsored Research Officer
- Other academic administration _____
- Grad student or postdoc
- Policymaker
- Other _____

Your academic field:

- Biology
- Chemistry
- Geosciences
- Engineering
- Mathematics/Computer Science
- Physics/Astronomy
- Psychology
- Other Social Sciences
- Arts or Humanities
- Other _____

Type of academic institution at which you work:

- Baccalaureate College
- Comprehensive University
- Research University
- 2-year College
- Technical University
- Scientific or Educational Association
- Other _____

How did you hear of the CUR Conference?

- CUR Postcard in the mail

- CUR electronic notices to members
- Notice on CUR listserv
- CUR Poster
- CUR liaison's communication on campus
- Word of mouth from a colleague
- Advertisement in print media
- Advertisement in non-CUR electronic media
- Other _____

What influenced you to come to CUR 2008?

- Plenary Sessions
- Interactive Sessions
- Workshops
- Funding sessions
- General camaraderie and informal discussion
- I came because I'm a CUR Councilor and was attending the business meeting
- Other _____

Which plenary sessions did you attend and how do you rate them?

Please rate the sessions on a 1 to 5 scale with 1 as best and 5 as worst.

- Isiah Warner,
- Jennifer Blackmer
- Paul Apostolidis
- CUR Fellows

Comments and/or suggestions for future plenary topics:

Please rate the workshops/interactive sessions you attended (funding agency presentations are rated separately). List the title EXACTLY as they appeared in the program.

Very Moderately Not

<u>Title</u>	Informative	Informative	Informative
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

Comments and/or Suggestions on the Format of Workshops and Interactive Sessions:

Please rate the funding agency presentations that you attended, using the titles of the presentations as listed in the program:

Title	Very Informative	Moderately Informative	Not Informative
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

Comments and/or Suggestions: _____

Poster Sessions

DID NOT ATTEND [] (skip to next question)

[] Attended – Cross Disciplinary Posters

[] Attended - Disciplinary Posters at Division Open Houses

YES SOMEWHAT NO

Were the Poster Session(s) helpful?

Did you feel you had sufficient time to discuss posters?

Comments and/or Suggestions: _____

Evaluation of the Conference from the Perspective of your Discipline (or Division)

1. What is your discipline? _____

2. Did the conference contain sufficient presentations in your field? Yes [] Somewhat [] No []

3. Please suggest topics in your discipline for the next conference.

4. Would you be interested in organizing this/these topic(s)? Yes [] N []. If yes, please give us your name and email address at the top of this form?

4. What did you learn from this conference that you will use in your work when you return home?

Overall Evaluation of the Conference

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
1. Registration process:				
Pre-registration	_____	_____	_____	_____
On-site registration and check-in	_____	_____	_____	_____
2. Housing accommodations:				
On-campus	_____	_____	_____	_____
Off-campus	_____	_____	_____	_____
3. Meals:				
Daily	_____	_____	_____	_____
Banquet	_____	_____	_____	_____
Breaks	_____	_____	_____	_____
4. Conference logistics:				
Staff	_____	_____	_____	_____
Meeting rooms	_____	_____	_____	_____
Schedule of events	_____	_____	_____	_____
Time for networking	_____	_____	_____	_____
Quality of conference materials	_____	_____	_____	_____
Shuttle service	_____	_____	_____	_____
Vendors' and societies' booths	_____	_____	_____	_____
Field trips	_____	_____	_____	_____
5. Overall opinion of the conference:	_____	_____	_____	_____

Comments:

Any additional comments and/or suggestions for future conferences:

More time devoted to:

Less time devoted to:
